

## N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS:

Tilo Dittrich et al.

SERIAL NO.

10/737,275

**FILED** 

: December 16, 2003

FOR

IGNITION DEVICE FOR IGNITING A COIL ...

**EXAMINER** 

Paul R. Durand

GROUP:

3721

Mail Stop: RCE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## AMENDMENT Under 37 C.F.R.§114

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated May 2, 2005 (a Petition for a two-month Extension of Time is enclosed) in which the Examiner rejects claims 3-4 for allegedly being indefinite, also claims 1-3 and 5-9 as being unpatentable over the prior art, and indicates that claim 4 would become allowable upon having been rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim(s).

The Examiner's indication of the allowability of claim 4 has been duly noted. However, applicants respectfully submit that rewriting claim 4 in

independent form is not necessary as it depends, indirectly, on a claim, claim 1 which is earnestly believed to be allowable.

Please amend the above-identified application as follows: